

General and Special Election
November 5, 2024
Clark County, Washington

Precinct Sample
Page 1 of 3

Who donates to campaigns?
View information on financial contributors for federal candidates:
Federal Election Commission
www.fec.gov
Toll Free 1 (800) 424-9530

View financial contributors for candidates and measures:
Public Disclosure Commission
www.pdc.wa.gov
Toll Free 1 (877) 601-2828

Instructions

Use a black or dark blue ink pen to completely fill in the box to the left of your choice.

Vote for only one choice for each office or ballot measure. If you vote for more than one choice, no votes will be counted for that office or ballot measure. Leaving an office or ballot measure blank will not invalidate your ballot.

To correct a mistake

Draw a line through the entire candidate's name or measure response. You then have the option of marking another choice.

To vote for a Write-in candidate

Completely fill in the box next to the dotted line and write the candidate's name on the dotted line.

Elections Office Contact Information:

Location: 1408 Franklin St., Vancouver
Mail: PO Box 8815, Vancouver, WA 98666
Telephone: (564) 397-2345
Speech-to-Speech Relay: 711 or (877) 833-6341
Fax Number: (564) 397-2394
Email: elections@clark.wa.gov
Website: clarkvotes.org

Begin Voting Here

State Measures
Initiative to the People

Initiative Measure No. 2066

Initiative Measure No. 2066 concerns regulating energy services, including natural gas and electrification. This measure would repeal or prohibit certain laws and regulations that discourage natural gas use and/or promote electrification, and require certain utilities and local governments to provide natural gas to eligible customers.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Yes
 No

Initiatives to the Legislature

Initiative Measure No. 2109

Initiative Measure No. 2109 concerns taxes. This measure would repeal an excise tax imposed on the sale or exchange of certain long-term capital assets by individuals who have annual capital gains of over \$250,000. This measure would decrease funding for K-12 education, higher education, school construction, early learning, and childcare.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Yes
 No

Initiative Measure No. 2117

Initiative Measure No. 2117 concerns carbon tax credit trading. This measure would prohibit state agencies from imposing any type of carbon tax credit trading, and repeal legislation establishing a cap and invest program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This measure would decrease funding for investments in transportation, clean air, renewable energy, conservation, and emissions-reduction.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Yes
 No

Initiative Measure No. 2124

Initiative Measure No. 2124 concerns state long term care insurance. This measure would provide that employees and self-employed people must elect to keep coverage under RCW 50B.04 and could opt-out any time. It would also repeal a law governing an exemption for employees. This measure would decrease funding for Washington's public insurance program providing long-term care benefits and services.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Yes
 No

County Measures

Clark County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 16

Concerning the Sheriff's Salary
The Clark County Council adopted Ordinance No. 2024-06-07 proposing an amendment to the County Home Rule Charter, concerning the Sheriff's salary. If approved, this amendment would adjust the Sheriff's salary to eight percent (8%) higher than the highest step of the Undersheriff salary range.

Should this amendment be:

Approved
 Rejected

Clark County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 17

Concerning the redistricting process for Clark County Councilor districts

The Clark County Council adopted Ordinance No. 2024-06-08 proposing an amendment to the County Home Rule Charter regarding the county council districts redistricting process. If approved, this amendment would increase the redistricting committee to seven (7) nonpartisan members, instead of members selected from lists provided by political parties; require county council to appoint a qualified redistricting master; provide criteria for the redistricting plan; and would require adoption of the redistricting masters plan unless five (5) members amend it.

Should this amendment be:

Approved
 Rejected

Clark County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 18

Concerning submission of initiative petitions by Clark County Registered Voters

The Clark County Council adopted Ordinance No. 2024-06-09 proposing an amendment to the County Home Rule Charter regarding the initiative process. If this amendment is approved, a petitioner must obtain a statement from the county of estimated increased expenditures, and if so, that statement and Prosecuting Attorney's opinion must be on the petition and ballot. Signatures required for petitions only affecting unincorporated areas would be calculated using the same method as petitions for the entire county.

Should this amendment be:

Approved
 Rejected

Federal Partisan Office

President and Vice President of the United States

Kamala D. Harris /
Tim Walz
Democratic Party
Nominees

Donald J. Trump /
JD Vance
Republican Party
Nominees

Robert F. Kennedy Jr. /
Nicole Shanahan
We The People Party
Nominees

Jill Stein /
Rudolph Ware
Green Party Nominees

Claudia De la Cruz /
Karina Garcia
Socialism and Liberation
Party Nominees

Rachele Fruit /
Dennis Richter
Socialist Workers Party
Nominees

Joseph Kishore /
Jerry White
Socialist Equality Party
Nominees

Chase Oliver /
Mike ter Maat
Libertarian Party
Nominees

Cornel West /
Melina Abdullah
Justice For All Party
Nominees

Shiva Ayyadurai /
Crystal Ellis
Independent Candidates

General and Special Election
November 5, 2024
Clark County, Washington

Precinct Sample
Page 2 of 3

READ: Each candidate for President and Vice President is the official nominee of a political party. For other partisan offices, each candidate may state a political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party, or that the party approves of or associates with that candidate.

Federal Partisan Offices

United States Senator

Maria Cantwell
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Dr Raul Garcia
(Prefers GOP Party)

United States Representative
3rd Congressional District

Marie Gluesenkamp
Perez
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Joe Kent
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Partisan Offices

Governor

Bob Ferguson
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Dave Reichert
(Prefers Republican Party)

Lieutenant Governor

Denny Heck
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Dan Matthews
(Prefers Republican Party)

Secretary of State

Steve Hobbs
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Dale Whitaker
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Treasurer

Mike Pellicciotti
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Sharon Hanek
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Auditor

Pat (Patrice) McCarthy
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Matt Hawkins
(Prefers Republican Party)

Attorney General

Pete Serrano
(Prefers Republican Party)

Nick Brown
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Commissioner of Public Lands

Jaime Herrera Beutler
(Prefers Republican Party)

Dave Upthegrove
(Prefers Democratic Party)

State Nonpartisan Office

Superintendent of Public Instruction

Chris Reykdal

David Olson

State Partisan Office

Insurance Commissioner

Patty Kuderer
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Phil Fortunato
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Legislative Partisan Offices

State Senator
17th Legislative District

Paul Harris
(Prefers Republican Party)

Marla Keethler
(Prefers Democratic Party)

State Representative
17th Legislative District
Position No. 1

Kevin Waters
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Representative
17th Legislative District
Position No. 2

Terri Niles
(Prefers Democratic Party)

David Stuebe
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Senator
18th Legislative District

Adrian Cortes
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Brad Benton
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Representative
18th Legislative District
Position No. 1

Stephanie McClintock
(Prefers Republican Party)

Deken Letinich
(Prefers Democratic Party)

State Representative
18th Legislative District
Position No. 2

John Zingale
(Prefers Democratic Party)

John Ley
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Senator
20th Legislative District

John Braun
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Representative
20th Legislative District
Position No. 1

Peter Abbarno
(Prefers Republican Party)

Melvin Kaleolani Apana
(Prefers Culture
Republican Party)

State Representative
20th Legislative District
Position No. 2

Ed Orcutt
(Prefers GOP Party)

State Senator
49th Legislative District

Annette Cleveland
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Lucia Worthington
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Representative
49th Legislative District
Position No. 1

Sharon Wylie
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Brett Graham
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Representative
49th Legislative District
Position No. 2

Monica Jurado Stonier
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Russell Barber
(Prefers Republican Party)

State Judicial Nonpartisan
Offices

State Supreme Court
Justice - Position No. 2

Sal Mungia

Dave Larson

State Supreme Court
Justice - Position No. 8

Steve Gonzalez

State Supreme Court
Justice - Position No. 9

Sheryl Gordon McCloud

State Court of Appeals
Nonpartisan Office

State Court of Appeals
Division 2, District 3
Judge - Position No. 1

Bernard F. Veljacic

County Council
Councilor - District No. 3

Chuck Keplar
 Will Fuentes

County Council
Councilor - District No. 4

Joe Zimmerman
 Matt Little

**Public Utility District No. 1
of Clark County**
Commissioner - District No. 1

Sherry Erickson
 James Oneil

**City of Vancouver
Proposed Charter
Amendment No. 8**
**Concerning Vancouver City
Officer Vacancies**
The City Council of Vancouver adopted Resolution M-4278 proposing an amendment to the City Charter concerning the criteria under which an office is deemed vacated. If approved, this amendment would modify and clarify the criteria that trigger a vacancy in an elected or appointed City office and would provide that an office is deemed vacant if the office holder is unable to discharge their duties with or without a reasonable accommodation, or if they are absent from the City for 60 consecutive days without permission from City Council.

Should this amendment be approved?
 Yes
 No

**City of Vancouver
Proposed Charter
Amendment No. 9**
**Concerning Methodology for
Adjusting Vancouver
Councilmember Salary**
The City Council of Vancouver adopted Resolution M-4279 concerning how and when salary increases are calculated for City Councilmembers. If approved, this amendment would clarify language relating to the use of an external economic indicator (the Consumer Price Index) to calculate adjustments to City Councilmember salaries and would adjust by two months, the date by which a biennial salary schedule must be adopted by the City's Salary Review Commission.

Should this amendment be approved?
 Yes
 No

**City of Vancouver
Proposed Charter
Amendment No. 10**
**Concerning the duties of
Vancouver's City Manager**
The City Council of Vancouver adopted Resolution M-4280 proposing an amendment to the City Charter concerning the City Manager's budgetary responsibilities. If approved, this amendment would clarify that the City Manager must prepare and submit to City Council a two-year budget as required by State law and City Charter and must submit a complete report dedicated to the City's finances at the end of each fiscal year.

Should this amendment be approved?
 Yes
 No

**City of Vancouver
Proposed Charter
Amendment No. 11**
**Concerning City of Vancouver
Contracting Procedures**
The City Council of Vancouver adopted Resolution M-4281 proposing an amendment to the City Charter concerning the procedure for approving certain contracts. If approved, this amendment would eliminate the procedural requirement that City contracts with a term of more than five years must be approved by adoption of a City Ordinance. Such contracts would be approved according to the requirements of state law, the City Charter, and the Vancouver Municipal Code.

Should this amendment be approved?
 Yes
 No

**City of Vancouver
Proposed Charter
Amendment No. 12**
**Concerning Initiative and
Referendum Petitions**
The City Council of Vancouver adopted Resolution M-4282 proposing an amendment to the City Charter concerning the technical requirements for initiative and referendum petitions. If approved, this amendment would remove language referencing physical paper and indelible signatures that would prevent the acceptance of electronic signatures on petitions and would permit the City Council to authorize the acceptance of electronic signatures on petitions when, and to the extent, allowed by state law.

Should this amendment be approved?
 Yes
 No

**City of Vancouver
Proposition No. 4**
**Police and Public Safety
Services Levy Lid Lift**
The Vancouver City Council adopted Resolution M-4288 concerning a levy lid lift to fund police and public safety. If approved, this proposition would fund police and public safety services, including staffing, equipment, technology, facilities, and criminal justice costs; increase Vancouver's regular property tax by approximately \$0.41/\$1,000 assessed valuation, for a maximum levy rate not to exceed \$2.63/\$1,000 for 2025; set the limit factor for Vancouver's total regular property tax levy for 2026-2030 to 100% plus annual increase of 5%; and authorize the 2030 levy amount as the basis to calculate subsequent levies (84.55 RCW).

Should this proposition be approved?
 Yes
 No

End of Ballot